

Ongoing QA/QC for PM Monitoring

Requirements from CEN/TC264 WG15 AMS Group

Theo Hafkenscheid *RIVM* – Centre for *Environmental Monitoring NL* – BILTHOVEN





Roadmap of presentation



- Introduction context
- Premises
- Elements of ongoing QA/QC
- Summary



Introduction

- 2008: CEN/TC264 WG15 proposes drafting a standard for automated continuous measurement systems (AMS) for PM
 - AMS needed to fulfill requirements of 2008/50/EC
 - As "equivalent" methods
 - Burden of proof of equivalence on networks
 - Lack of harmonized QA/QC
 - Lack of harmonized data treatment/validation



Introduction

Proposed standard

Type approval of AMS cf. EN 15267-1 and -2

- Laboratory tests and field tests
- Input from VDI 4203 part 3 and Guide to Demonstration of Equivalence (GDE)
- Suitability evaluation by networks
- Requirements for ongoing QA/QC
- Requirements and recommendations for data treatment and validation

Input from Aquila

Comparable standards exist for AMS for gases



Premises

- Equal requirements from GDE and new AMS standard
 - Field testing and evaluation of results
- Requirement from Commission for ongoing verification of equivalence in time and space !
 - Implications for ongoing QA/QC
 - Introduction of QA/QC section in GDE !
- Simultaneous revision of GDE and drafting of AMS Standard !





- Revised GDE published in January 2010
 - http://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/quality/legislation /assessment.htm
- Working draft of AMS Standard ready for circulation in CEN/TC264
- Standard to be published (?) before revision of 2008/50/EC

Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Millieu















Ongoing QA/QC

Purpose

Ensure that uncertainties of measurement results are kept below stated limits during extended periods of operation in the field

Elements

- Checks, calibrations, maintenance
 - Minimum frequencies
 - Performance action criteria
- Ongoing verification of suitability of AMS



Checks, calibration and maintenance	Section	Frequency	Lab / field	Action criteria
Checks of status values of operational parameters	8.4.3	Daily	L	See 8.4.3
Checks of sensors for temperatures, pressure and/or humidity	8.4.4	Every 3 months	F	± 2 K ± 1 kPa ± 5 %rh
Calibration of sensors for temperatures, pressure and/or humidity	8.4.5	Every year	L/F	
Check of the AMS flow rate(s)	8.4.6	Every 3 months	F	4%
Calibration of the AMS flow rate(s)	8.4.7	Every year	L/F	
Leak check of the sampling system	8.4.8	Every year	L/F	1%
Zero check of the AMS reading	8.4.9	Every year	L/F	3 μg/m3
Check of the AMS mass measuring system	8.4.10	As recommended by the manufacturer and after repair, but at least every year	L/F	3%
Regular maintenance of components of the AMS	8.5	As required by the L / F manufacturer		

Rijksinstituut voor Volkagezondhei

Ongoing suitability verification

- Ongoing comparisons with reference method
 - No metrological standards for PM
 - Limited "technical" QA/QC
 - Type approval and suitability evaluation tests cover a limited number of practical situations (compositions of PM; meteorology)
 - AMS measurand always differs from that of reference method !
 - New versions of the same type of AMS may behave differently !



Example RIVM

PM10 AMS: ß-attenuation monitors

Upto 2007: 2 types

- Fixed heating
- Flexible heating
- → 4 different equivalence "calibration equations" (also differences between urban and rural sites)!

Currently

• One type: one calibration !



Examples EU (anon)

- Technical improvements (?) to AMS have led to problems with continuity of equivalence
 - Shall be covered by requirements for type approval (design changes)



Ongoing suitability verification

Minimum requirements

W _{AMS} (%)	≤ 10	10 - 15	15-20	20 - 25
% of sites (nr ≥2)	10	10	15	20
Number of sites	2	3	4	5

* The smaller of the two resulting numbers may be applied.

- Sites representative of conditions typical for network
- Tests shall cover full year
- Minimum 80 valid data pairs per site

Recommendations

- One site from suitability test
- Other sites change yearly

Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid

Ongoing suitability evaluation

- Results accumulated over 3-year period to be evaluated yearly
 - Using "GDE" uncertainty evaluation subprocedure (paragraph 9.5.3.2 – 9.5.5)
 - When uncertainty in different category: change comparison regime accordingly
 - When uncertainty > 25%: corrective action, e.g., recalibration of AMS



Ongoing suitability evaluation

- After 5 years: complete re-evaluation of uncertainty
 - Using all results collected
 - Using full "GDE" data evaluation procedure (paragraph 9.5 of GDE)

Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu



Wrap up

RSC AAMG- AirMonTech 2010



Summary

- New standard under development
 - Replaces equivalence demonstration for PM AMS by combination of
 - Type approval
 - Suitability evaluation
 - Adds requirements for ongoing QA/QC and data treatment



Summary

- However, still problems exist with implementation of the reference methods for PM !
 - Effects of filter types, brands, conditioning !





Thank you !

RSC AAMG- AirMonTech 2010