Air quality monitoring for Exposure assessment

Gerard Hoek

Talk

- How useful are current air quality networks for exposure assessment (EA) for epidemiological studies?
 - Challenges of EA for selected epidemiological study designs
 - Limit to <u>ambient concentrations</u> and not touch on personal exposure (Mark Nieuwenhuijsen later today)



Epidemiological studies

- Short-term exposure
 - Time series studies
 - Temporal variation
 - Hours to days time scale
 - Long-term exposure
 - Cohort studies, cross-sectional studies
 - Spatial variation

Year(s) Universiteit Utrecht



Challenges exposure assessment

 Short-term: long complete daily series of pollutant concentrations

Long-term: annual average concentrations for a large number of locations (e.g. homes) in space

Where do relevant traffic exposures occur?

- Everywhere, as traffic emissions affect urban background
- □ Major roads, if your home, workplace, school or day care center are located there
- Participation in traffic



Contribution of sources to PM2.5 in Erfurt





NO2 and soot decrease with distance to a freeway





Line		n	Vehicle interior Av.	Sampling station Av.
Tramway	T27	14	279	71
	T12	17	244	68
	T21	10	111	42
	T19	13	110	36
	T18	10	71	41
Bus, circular	B41	23	236	71
	B33	13	179	60
	B44	34	149	48
	B45	15	80	47
Bus, radial	B192	15	134	46
	B85	9	116	44
	B67	10	98	40
	B31	10	97	37
	B53	8	90	35
Sum		201	155	52

Universiteit Utrecht

Table 10 PM_{10} concentrations ($\mu g \cdot m^{-3}$) inside vehicles for all the lines examined during the study in Munich, Germany (*ca.* 4-h rides), compared with the averages from the three closest to the examined lines outdoor sampling stations (3-h average). *After Praml and Schierl (2000)*.

Facty of Venerary Maciane



Figure 2. PNCs. (A) Bus sampling day, 22 January 2008. Black, diesel bus; gray, electric bus; dotted line, urban background. The diesel bus left and arrived about 15 min later than the electric bus. To facilitate geographical comparison, the time of the diesel bus is shifted 15 min earlier. (B) Car sampling day, 18 March 2008. Black, diesel car; gray, gasoline-fueled car; dotted line, urban background. (C) Bicycle sampling day, 10 June 2008. Black, high-traffic route; gray, low-traffic route; dotted line, urban background. Res., residential.

Commuting exposures. Zuurbier, 2010 EHP



Figure 4. Exposures in modes of transport and at the urban background location on corresponding sampling days. (A) Two-hour average PNCs (particles/cm³). Two-hour average $PM_{2.5}$ (B), PM_{10} (C), and soot (D; fraction of PM_{10}). Box-and-whisker plots indicate lower and upper quartiles (box), median (line), 10–90th percentiles (whiskers), and minimum and maximum values (circles).

Networks

- Temporally rich (daily, often continuous)
- Spatially sparse (few sites per city)
- Often long series, historically consistent
- Multiple pollutants
 - Criteria pollutants
 - Exposure oriented?



Time series studies

- Most based upon networks
- Variation in time measured at a few sites predicts variations across the city well
 - Good correlation with personal exposure







Issues

- Siting
- Time series length
- Components measured
 - Coarse particles
 - Ultrafine particles
 - Composition of PM (metals, EC, OC, PAH)
 - Not appropriate for commuting exposures



Long-term exposure studies

- Between community studies
 - Expo often one value per city
 - Strong assumption ...
 - Between and within city variation
 - Within community studies
 - Individual often residential exposure
 - Challenging



Harvard 6 cities study

- Dockery DW, Pope CA, Xu X, Spengler JD, Ware JH, Fay ME, Ferris BG, Speizer FE. N Engl J Med. 1993;329:1753-9.
- Prospective cohort study
- 9,000 subjects living in 6 U.S. cities, followed for 15 years
- Cities varied in long-term concentrations of sulfur oxides and particles



Dockery, NEJM 1993; 329: 1753-9



SPECIAL ARTICLE

Fine-Particulate Air Pollution and Life Expectancy in the United States

C. Arden Pope III, Ph.D., Majid Ezzati, Ph.D., and Douglas W. Dockery, Sc.D.





for 1980-2000.

Universiteit Utrecht

F

2/1/

Within study area variation, ESCAPE study



Universiteit





Role of network monitoring

- Typically several 100-s and 100-s addresses
- Nearest station not attractive
- Interpolation of measurements
- Basis for land use regression models

Interpolation of measurements

Ambient Air Pollution and Atherosclerosis in Los Angeles

Nino Künzli, Michael Jerrett, Wendy J. Mack, Bernardo Beckerman, Laurie LaBree, Frank Gilliland, Duncan Thomas, John Peters, and Howard N. Hodis



Universi

Figure 1. ZIP code locations of the study population geocoded on the PM_{2.5} surface, modeled with 2000 PM_{2.5} data, and distribution of individually assigned concentrations.

E

Background

• Land use regression (LUR) models have become popular to

Advemption the spatial variation of air pollution concentrations.

1.Relatively simple input 2.Low cost

Fig. 1. Traffic volume and land use components of model for estimating residential NO₂ levels calculated within buffer of radius "D" (dotted black line) surrounding residence. Within this buffer, traffic volume component uses state-provided average daily traffic (ADT) counts on curve segment "C_i" of roadways (thick black lines) subdivided into 50-m roadway sub-segments "s_{ij}". Distance from residence to roadway segment is "d". Land use categories, shown as color-coded "pixels," enter model as area in hectares of each category within a buffer. Also shown are axes (orange lines) dividing the buffer into four directional quadrants (i.e., north, east, south and west of residence).



model did not change. The final LUR model included the following predictor variables: area of low density residential land in a 300 m buffer, traffic intensity in a 200 m buffer, industry in a 1000 m buffer and population density in a 100 m buffer in addition to the interpolated regional background (see Appendix A for a more detailed explanation). Cross-validation of this LUR model using the leave-one out method resulted in a R^2 value of 87% and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) of 4.3 µg m⁻³.



Fig. 3. Maps of the Rijnmond area with estimated NO₂ concentrations (in $\mu g m^{-3}$) using the URBIS dispersion model (a) and the LUR model (b) (Fig. 1 shows a map of The Netherlands with the location of the Rijnmond area and the city of Rotterdam).



Environ. Sci. Technol. 2011, 45, 622–628

Land Use Regression Model for Ultrafine Particles in Amsterdam

GERARD HOEK, *.* ROB BEELEN, * GERARD KOS, * MARIEKE DIJKEMA, * SASKIA C VAN DER ZEE, * PAUL H FISCHER, "AND BERT BRUNEKREEF^{†, ⊥}

TABLE 2. Land Use Regression Model for Particle Number Concentration (cm⁻³)

	regression coefficient"	standard error
intercept	14491	(3165)
product T.I. and inverse distance squared	29523	(3795)
address density, 300 m	10266	(3839)
port, 3000 m	6059	(3421)

"regression slopes multiplied by the difference between the 10th and 90th percentile for each of the three predictors (1102, 2653, and 4 149 780), intercept directly from model. The R^2 of the model was 0.67 (adjusted $R^2 =$ 0.65). T.I. is traffic intensity.



Why did we not use network data in ESCAPE as basis?

- Networks not dense enough to assess intraurban variability
- 2. Too limited set of components
 - a) Soot
 - b) Elemental composition of PM
 - c) PM10 and PM2.5 -> coarse PM



Why did we not use network data in ESCAPE as basis?

- 3. Differences in monitoring methods across networks (esp. PM)
- 4. Differences in siting of monitors
- Location of traffic monitors often does not reflect residential exposures (kerbside vs facade)

How did we use network

- Co-location (QA-QC)
- Historic trends as we associate *current* measurements with *past* health

Correlation between previously measured concentrations and measured ESCAPE concentrations



Stockholm					
	Correlation	N			
NO ₂	0.89	25			
PM _{2.5}	0.46	14			
PM _{2.5} abs	0.75	14			





Trend estimation

- Components
- Changes in sites
- Changes in methods



Alternatives

- 1. Study-specific sampling (mobile campaign)
- 2. Spatially more dense networks
 - a) Passive sampling (NO2)
 - b) Simple and cheap sensors
 - c) Satelites
 - Multi-component sites (long duration)



Spatial distribution of ultrafine particles in urban settings: A land use regression model

Marcela Rivera a,b,c,*, Xavier Basagaña a,b, Inmaculada Aguilera a,b,d, David Agis a,b, Laura Bouso a,b, Maria Foraster a,b,c,d, Mercedes Medina-Ramón a, Jorge Pey e, Nino Künzli f,g, Gerard Hoek.

- Mobile monitoring campaign
- 644 sites
- 15 minutes per site
- Models explain ~50% of measured variation





Summary

- Networks have been used extensively in epidemiological studies, esp. time series studies
 - Limitations include
 - Spatial density
 - Components (UFP, soot, composition)
 - Consistency

